

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/675,847	DIGGLE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hugh B. Thompson II	3634	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Final

 (1) Hugh B. Thompson II.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 March 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_.

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1-20

Prior art documents discussed:

Shay-959, Riniger-083

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agrees to amend claims 1, 11, and 19 to recite a unitary L-shaped shield member connected to the hook member below the sleeve member, and that the shield member simultaneously protects a portion of the user's leg and foot. These changes serve to distinguish over the Shay and Riniger references. .